

TEXAS ALLIANCE OF GROUNDWATER DISTRICTS

# TEXAS GROUNDWATER SUMMIT

## *Texas Case Law Update*

presented by

**Natasha Martin** - *Graves Dougherty Hearon & Moody, PC*

**Ed McCarthy** - *McCarthy & McCarthy, LLP*

**Drew Miller** - *Kemp Smith, LLP*

---

moderated by

**Mike Gershon** - *Lloyd Gosselink Rochelle & Townsend, PC*

San Antonio, Texas

August 22, 2019

TEXAS ALLIANCE OF GROUNDWATER DISTRICTS

# TEXAS GROUNDWATER SUMMIT

## *Texas Case Law Update*

### **KEY ISSUES:**

- **Contested permit applications** (*party status, hearing procedure, SOAH, administrative record*)
- **District and director liability** (*immunity, source of funds for takings judgment, risk management/litigation budget, attorney/expert fees awards*)
- **Fair share groundwater allocation and Regulatory takings**
- **Groundwater/surface water interaction and Conjunctive management**

# CONTESTED CASE HEARINGS

(on groundwater drilling or production permit applications)

## Issues:

- Party Status / Standing
- Venue
- Procedure
- Decisions made by GCD and decisions made by ALJ
- Remedies

## Relevant Cases:

- *Lost Pines GCD v. Meyer*
- *Fort Stockton Holdings v. Middle Pecos GCD/Cockrell Investment Partners v. Middle Pecos GCD*
- *Boulware v. Kinney County GCD*



# DISTRICT AND DIRECTOR LIABILITY

## Issues:

- Official vs. Individual Capacity
- Immunity
- Source of funds to pay judgment (taxes, fees, assessment, State?)

## Relevant Cases:

- *Conroe v. Lone Star GCD*
- *BMA WCID No. 1 v. Bandera County River Auth. and Groundwater Dist.*
- *Fazzino v. Brazos Valley GCD*



# “FAIR SHARE” GROUNDWATER ALLOCATION AND TAKINGS LAW

## Issues:

- What did Texas Supreme Court intend by fair share? Compare TWC 36.002(d)(3)
- Can a landowner claim “fair share” for conservation?
- Difference between physical taking and regulatory taking
- Can there be a taking and no damages?

## Relevant Cases:

- *End Op v. Meyer*
- *TESPA v. Electropurification*
- *Fazzino v. Brazos Valley GCD*  
(recall *Day/McDaniel v. EAA*)





# GROUNDWATER-SURFACE WATER INTERACTION AND CONJUNCTIVE MANAGEMENT AND USE

## Issues:

- Clarity in the law? Agency regulations?
- Benefits of conjunctive management/use to address drought, efficient and flexible use of water
- Stakeholder concerns with conjunctive use

## Relevant Cases:

- *Texas v. New Mexico*
- *BMA WCID No. 1 v. BCRA GD*
- *Conroe v. Lone Star GCD*
- *TCEQ investigations (e.g., Rio Grande, Nueces, San Saba)*



TEXAS ALLIANCE OF GROUNDWATER DISTRICTS

---

# TEXAS GROUNDWATER SUMMIT

## *Texas Case Law Update*

presented by

**Natasha Martin** | [nmartin@gdhm.com](mailto:nmartin@gdhm.com); 512.480.5654

**Ed McCarthy** | [ed@ermlawfirm.com](mailto:ed@ermlawfirm.com); 512.904.2313

**Drew Miller** | [drew.miller@kempsmith.com](mailto:drew.miller@kempsmith.com); 512.320.5466

---

moderated by

**Mike Gershon** | [mgershon@lglawfirm.com](mailto:mgershon@lglawfirm.com); 512.750.9628

San Antonio, Texas  
August 22, 2019